



Independent
Evaluation Office
GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT FACILITY

Applying Rapid Impact Evaluation to Assess Impacts of the GEF-UNIDO Energy Efficiency Program in South East Asia

Canadian Evaluation Society Conference 2017

“Lessons from Applying RIE in Canada and Internationally”

Leading Edge Panel

Carlo Carugi

Senior Evaluation Officer

May 1st, 2017

Background

- IEO is an independent unit in the GEF partnership tasked with higher-than-project level evaluations
- IEO mission is to enhance global environmental benefits through excellence in evaluation
- IEO is particularly interested in assessing environmental impacts in the GEF focal areas (Biodiversity, Climate Change, Land Degradation, Chemicals and International Waters)

Why RIE?

- RIE used for a case study in a highly complex evaluation on GEF programs
- RIE used for impact assessment, alternative to geospatial impact analysis
- The aim was to obtain estimates of GHG emission reductions attributable to the program

On what?

- The Evaluand was an Energy Efficiency program, composed on highly homogeneous projects implemented in five South East Asian countries
- Project components included:
 - support to ISO 50,001 standard regulatory framework
 - EnMS and SO Training to enterprises, service providers, financial institutions and government
 - Energy efficiency pilots

How?

- The full RIE approach was applied
- A long and iterative design phase (visits in DC and Vienna)
- One-week missions to two out of five countries
- Estimates of the program contribution to improved energy efficiency in industries from the three expert groups in each country and from a global panel
- The evaluation report and RIE Case Study will be soon available on

www.gefieo.org

What did it take?

- A long time to set it all up, and to carry it out
- A larger budget than expected
- Adapting the approach to a mission type setting that is typical of development evaluation
- Great support from GEF Secretariat and especially from UNIDO
- Use of RIE Technical Advisers was different from standard RIE, as was the expert panels, and e-surveys

Did it yield what we expected?

- Estimates of the EE results attributable to the intervention were generated
- These could be triangulated with other sources, suggesting these results have validity
- But getting the actual estimates of GHG emission reductions from the two technical advisors was challenging
- We used the RIE results in the evaluation

What would we do it differently?

- Hiring of Technical Advisors could have been done earlier in the process
- A mission type setting requires a different RIE process, with the risk of it being less use-seeking. Sharing the project summaries could have been done prior to the missions
- Different use of RIE Technical Advisors... not much to change here
- Country expert panels composition... maybe we could have seek advice from others beyond the TAs



Thank you!

For more information, visit www.gefio.org