

# Program Evaluation of the Least Developed Countries Fund (LDCF)

(Prepared by the Independent Evaluation Office of the GEF)

20th LDCF/SCCF Council Meeting June 09, 2016 Agenda Item 06

#### Introduction

- Part of the Four-Year Work Program of the Independent Evaluation Office (IEO) of the GEF as approved at the 18<sup>th</sup> LDCF/SCCF Council meeting
- Focusing on performance and progress towards LDCF objectives and emerging results
- Aim is to provide the LDCF/SCCF Council with evaluative evidence of the Fund's relevance and emerging results



# **Overarching Evaluative Questions**

- Relevance How relevant is LDCF support in light of UNFCCC COP guidance and decisions, the GEF adaptation programming strategy, and countries' broader developmental policies, plans and programs?
- Effectiveness and Efficiency How effective and efficient is the LDCF in reaching its objectives, based on emerging results?
- Results and Sustainability What are the emerging results and factors that affect the sustainability and resilience of these results?





Independent Evaluation Office \* Included all Council approved, CEO endorsed/approved, under implementation and completed projects. Total number of implementation projects, including pending and PM recommended equals 217. Twelve projects were cancelled or dropped.

## Conclusions (1-4/8)

- Conclusion 1: LDCF supported activities, for the most part, have been highly relevant to COP guidance, and countries' development priorities.
- Conclusion 2: LDCF supported interventions show clear potential in reaching the GEF's three adaptation strategic objectives.
- Conclusion 3: Contributions of LDCF supported interventions to focal areas other than climate change are potentially significant.
- Conclusion 4: The efficiency of the LDCF has been adversely impacted by the unpredictability of available resources.



## Conclusions (5-8/8)

- Conclusion 5: LDCF support to NAPA implementation projects has resulted in catalytic effects in completed projects, though extensive replication and upscaling generally demands further financing beyond the projects' timeframe.
- Conclusion 6: There is a clear intent to mainstream adaptation into countries' environmental and sustainable development policies, plans and associated processes.
- Conclusion 7: The gender performance of the LDCF portfolio has improved considerably in response to enhanced requirements from the GEF, though there is a need for greater clarity on what constitutes 'gender mainstreaming'.
- Conclusion 8: There are significant discrepancies in project data from the GEF Secretariat's Project Management Information System (PMIS).



#### Recommendations

- Recommendation 1: The GEF Secretariat should explore and develop mechanisms that ensure the predictable, adequate and sustainable financing of the Fund.
- Recommendation 2: The GEF Secretariat should make efforts to improve consistency regarding their understanding and application of the GEF gender mainstreaming policy and the Gender Equality Action Plan (GEAP) to the LDCF.
- Recommendation 3: The GEF Secretariat should ensure that the data in the Project Management Information System is up to date and accurate.



#### **Recommended Council Decision**

The Council, having reviewed document GEF/LDCF.SCCF.20/ME/02, *Program Evaluation of the Least Developed Countries Fund* and GEF/LDCF.SCCF.20/ME/03, *Management Response to the Program Evaluation of the Least Developed Countries Fund*, takes note of the conclusions of the evaluation and endorses the recommendations.



# Thank you!

For more information, visit www.gefieo.org