

November 15, 2012

JOINT SUMMARY OF THE CHAIRS 43RD GEF COUNCIL MEETING NOVEMBER 13 - 15, 2012

OPENING OF THE MEETING

1. The meeting was opened by Dr. Naoko Ishii, Chief Executive Officer/Chairperson of the Facility.

ELECTION OF A CHAIRPERSON

2. The Council elected Mr. Joao Raimundo Lopes, the Council Member representing the constituency of Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, Chad, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Senegal, and the Gambia as its elected Co-Chair.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

3. The Council adopted the provisional agenda set forth in document GEF/C.43/01/Rev.01, as orally amended.

VISION EXERCISE FOR THE GEF

4. The GEF CEO/Chairperson stated that she considered it vital for the GEF to develop a long term vision and strategy for 2020 and beyond, and to articulate GEF's future directions and strategic positioning in the evolving architecture for financing of the global environment. To set the stage for the discussions, the Council heard a keynote address from Mr. Francisco Gaetani, Deputy Minister of Environment of Brazil. Additional introductory remarks were provided by the Minister of Nature Protection of Armenia, H.E. Mr. Aram Harutyunyan and Ms. Rachel Kyte, Vice President for Sustainable Development Network, World Bank. The Council expressed its strong support for the CEO/Chairperson's efforts to develop a long term strategy for the GEF, noting the importance of the process being appropriately consultative, and aligned with the upcoming GEF-6 Replenishment.

STATEMENTS BY THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARIES OF THE CONVENTIONS

5. The Council heard statements from Dr. Braulio Ferreira de Souza Dias, Executive Secretary of the Convention on Biological Diversity and Mr. James Willis, the Joint Head of the Stockholm, Rotterdam and Basel Convention Secretariats.

REPORT BY THE CHAIR OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL ADVISORY PANEL

6. Mr. Thomas Lovejoy, the Chairman of the Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel, made a statement to the Council on the activities undertaken by the Panel, and the current emerging environmental issues.

DECISIONS APPROVED

7. The Council approved the following decisions with respect to the items on its agenda.

Decision on Agenda Item 5 Briefing on the Replenishment Process

8. The Council, having reviewed document GEF/C.43/10, *Decision on the Sixth Replenishment of the GEF Trust Fund*, requested the Trustee of the GEF Trust Fund, in cooperation with the CEO and Chairperson of the Facility, to initiate discussions on the replenishment of the GEF Trust Fund for the negotiations of the sixth replenishment of resources of the GEF Trust Fund.

| Decision on Agenda Item 7 | Relations with Conventions and Other International |
|---------------------------|--|
| | Institutions |

9. The Council, having considered document GEF/C.43/03, *Relations with the Conventions and Other International Institutions*, welcomed the report and requested the GEF network to continue working with recipient countries to reflect the guidance and national priorities in their respective GEF programming and activities.

| Decision on Agenda Item 7 | Proposed Framework for a Financial Mechanism for the |
|---------------------------|--|
| | Future Mercury Convention and Draft Operational |
| | Program for Mercury |

10. The Council took note of the progress of the Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee (INC) to prepare a global legally binding instrument on mercury; and if invited by the INC, would welcome the GEF becoming a or the Financial Mechanism of the future instrument on mercury, and consider this invitation within the framework of the GEF.

Decision on Agenda Item 9 GEF Evaluation Office: Progress Report from the Director

11. The Council, having reviewed document GEF/ME/C.43/01, *GEF Evaluation Office: Progress Report from the Director*, took note of the on-going work of the Office and the preparations for the Fifth Overall Performance Study of the GEF, and requested the Secretariat to include a Management Response to the recommendations of the Fifth Overall Performance Study in the documents for negotiation of the sixth replenishment of the GEF. 12. The Council, having considered document GEF/ME/C.43/02, *Annual Thematic Evaluations Report 2012* and document GEF/ME/C.43/03, *Management Response to the Annual Thematic Evaluations Report 2012*, requested the Secretariat to ensure that:

- a) An explicit discussion of envisaged causal linkages and chains of causality in line with current scientific knowledge forms the basis for the formulation of GEF-6 Strategies.
- b) GEF-6 Strategies enable a more flexible and strategic approach to Multi-Focal Area projects, which would be able to adopt elements from several focal areas in a consistent manner.
- c) GEF-6 Strategies include a strengthened articulation of potential pathways from activities to the broader adoption of results to maximize the GEF's catalytic role.
- d) GEF-6 Strategies revisit the GEF's overall approach to capacity development in response to concerns voiced by the conventions.

13. Given the impact of convention guidance on the Focal Area Strategies, the GEF will continue dialogue with CBD to further define the relationship between guidance and strategies in a way that allows for responsiveness as well as strategic coherence in GEF-6.

Decision on Agenda Item 11 Annual Impact Report 2012 and Management Response

14. The Council, having reviewed document GEF/ME/C.43/04, "GEF Annual Impact Report 2012", and document GEF/ME/C.43/05, "Management Response to the GEF Annual Impact Report 2012", took note of the considerable achievements of GEF support to the South China Sea and adjacent areas including, amongst others, that in 21 of 26 cases where comparative data could be obtained, GEF has supported initiatives that reduced environmental stress and improved or maintained socioeconomic conditions.

15. Given the important contributions that GEF support has made to addressing regional transboundary concerns, and the role of the GEF as a critical player in the region, as noted by the report, the Council requested the Secretariat to:

- 1) Take into account the findings and recommendations of this evaluation when screening future proposals submitted for GEF funding in the South China Sea and adjacent areas, most notably:
 - when choosing areas for expansion, that the conditions conducive to broader adoption are present in those areas
 - that the distinctive competencies within the GEF partnership are more fully drawn on to mainstream transboundary environmental concerns among sectorial ministries
 - that systems for managing risks and trade-offs are specified
 - that more attention is given to the support of actions that address regional environmental goods and services

- that cash and in-kind cofinancing for regional services provided by GEF projects reach sustainable levels by project end
- that adequate coordination and management of risks within the GEF partnership be given attention.
- 2) Adopt a more robust tracking and reporting approach to ensure Agency accountability for collaboration and cooperation in the South China Sea and the East Asian Seas.
- 3) Take in to account the findings and recommendations of this evaluation when developing the GEF 6 International Waters Strategies.
- 16. The Council requested the GEF Agencies to:
 - 4) Ensure that M&E systems for environmental and socioeconomic impact are in place and consistently implemented, and that data is used and reported for management and public accountability; and
 - 5) Ensure that M&E data and information on the impact of GEF projects be made available to the GEF Evaluation Office in a timely and transparent manner when requested.

Decision on Agenda Item 12 Annual Monitoring Review FY12: Part I

17. The Council having reviewed GEF/C.43/05/Rev.01, *Annual Monitoring Review FY 12: Part I*, welcomed the overall finding that the GEF portfolio under implementation in 2012 performed satisfactorily across all focal areas. The Council welcomed the inclusion of an annual status update on Enabling Activities and Programmatic Approaches as well as the information provided on the National Portfolio Formulation Exercise (NPFE).

18. The Council requested the Secretariat to align its reporting requirements to the streamlining measures undertaken in the Project Cycle.

Decision on Agenda Item 13 Streamlining of Project Cycle

19. The Council, having reviewed document GEF/C.43/06, *Streamlining of Project Cycle*, appreciated the cooperative manner in which the GEF Secretariat and the Agencies have worked together to arrive at a set of streamlining and cost saving measures in GEF procedures and practices, and welcomed its implementation by January 2013.

20. The Council supported the streamlining measures described in the document. The Council also agreed to increase the MSP grant ceiling to \$2 million from the current \$1 million with delegated approval authority to the CEO with the understanding that this grant ceiling will be re-evaluated in the context of GEF-6.

21. The Council requested that the Secretariat, building on the existing GEF Results Based Management framework, propose relevant measures to report on the effectiveness and efficiency of the streamlining reforms for the Annual Monitoring Review. The Council encouraged the Secretariat and the Agencies to continue to collaborate on further streamlining reforms. The Secretariat is requested to report on progress achieved at the November 2013 Council meeting.

22. The Council thanked the Working Group for its guidance on this exercise and noted that the Group has concluded its work.

Decision on Agenda Item 14 Progress Report: Accreditation Process for GEF Project Agencies

23. The Council, having reviewed GEF/C.43/07, *Progress Report: Accreditation Process for GEF Project Agencies*, noted the status of the Stage II reviews by the Accreditation Panel to date and the need for further reviews of several candidate agencies, including field visits as appropriate, in order to verify their compliance with all minimum Fiduciary Standards and Environmental and Social Safeguards of the GEF.

24. Recognizing the need to review the outcomes and experiences with the first round of reviews, the Council decided to postpone consideration of a second round of accreditation until its June 2013 meeting, pending the conclusion of all ongoing Stage II reviews by the Accreditation Panel.

Decision on Agenda Item 15 Financial Projections for GEF-5 Programming Options

25. The Council, having reviewed document GEF/C.43/08, *Financial Projections for GEF-5 Programming Options*, expressed regret regarding the prospect of a shortfall in resources available for programming in GEF-5.

26. The Council regretted that the potential shortfall is a result of some Contributing Participants not fulfilling their financial pledges and the contribution deferrals they have brought about. The Council noted its appreciation of Contributing Participants who have delivered on their pledges. The Council urged all Contributing Participants to undertake efforts to make good on their pledges, which will allow release of deferred contributions.

27. The Council agreed that, as a contingency measure, the Secretariat undertakes programming maintaining the balance among the original allocations in the GEF-5 replenishment decision, assisting least developed countries (LDCs) and small island developing states (SIDS) in accessing resources, and supporting core obligations to the conventions for which the GEF is a or the financial mechanism.

28. The Council requested the Secretariat to report on the progress in programming and the status of any shortfall as well as proposed programming measures to manage the shortfall at the 44th meeting of the GEF Council in June 2013.

Decision on Agenda Item 16 Work Program

29. The Council, having reviewed document *GEF/C.43/09, Work Program*, approved the work program comprising twenty eight (28) project concepts and one programmatic approach, subject to comments made during the Council meeting and additional comments that may be submitted in writing to the Secretariat by November 29, 2012. One other project concept associated with a previously approved programmatic approach was also approved.

30. Total resources requested in this work program amounted to \$174 million which include GEF project grants and Agency fees. The approved work program is comprised of the following Project Identification Forms (PIFs) and Program Framework Document (PFD):

Biodiversity

- 1. **Comoros :** Development of a National Network of Terrestrial and Marine Protected Areas Representative of the Comoros' Unique Natural Heritage and Co-managed With Local Village Communities (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$4,246,000)
- 2. **Congo DR:** Democratic Republic of Congo Conservation Trust Fund (World Bank) (GEF Project Grant: \$11,636,363)
- 3. **South Africa :** Mainstreaming Biodiversity into Land Use Regulation and Management at the Municipal Scale (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$8,177,730)
- 4. **St. Kitts And Nevis :** Conserving Biodiversity and Reducing Habitat Degradation in Protected Areas and their Buffer Zones (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$3,371,630)
- 5. **Swaziland :** Strengthening the National Protected Areas System of Swaziland (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$5,390,000)
- 6. **Tanzania :** Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network for Biodiversity Conservation in Tanzania (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$4,100,000)

Climate Change

- Global : Global Support Programme for Preparation of National Communications and Biennial Update Reports for non-Annex I Parties under the UNFCCC (UNDP/UNEP) (GEF Project Grant : \$6,500,000)
- 8. Global (Bahrain, Benin, Bhutan, Cook Islands, Eritrea, Lao PDR, Mali, Mauritius, Nauru, Seychelles, Sao Tome and Principe, Tanzania) : Umbrella Programme for National Communication to the UNFCCC (UNEP) (GEF Project Grant : \$6,180,000)
- 9. **Brazil :** Low-Carbon Urban Mobility for Large Cities (IADB) (GEF Project Grant : \$6,000,000)
- 10. **China :** Enabling China to Prepare Its Third National Communication (3NC) and Biennial Update Report to the UNFCCC (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$7,280,000)
- 11. **Djibouti :** Geothermal Power Generation Program (World Bank) (GEF Project Grant : \$6,036,364)
- 12. **Iraq :** Catalysing the Use of Solar Photovoltaic Energy (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$2,227,273)

- 13. **Macedonia :** Catalyzing Market Transformation for Industrial Energy Efficiency and Accelerate Investments in Best Available Practices and Technologies in the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia (UNIDO) (GEF Project Grant : \$1,400,000)
- 14. **Mexico :** Sixth National Communication to the UNFCCC (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$3,636,364)
- 15. **Sierra Leone :** Energy Efficient Production and Utilization of Charcoal through Innovative Technologies and Private Sector Involvement (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$1,768,182)
- 16. **Tanzania :** Promotion of Waste-to-Energy Applications in Agro-industries (UNIDO) (GEF Project Grant : \$5,277,000)

International Waters

- 17. **Regional (Albania, Montenegro, Macedonia) :** Enabling Transboundary Cooperation and Integrated Water Resources Management in the Extended Drin River Basin (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$4,500,000)
- 18. Regional (China, Indonesia, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Philippines, Timor Leste, Vietnam) : Reducing Pollution and Rebuilding Degraded Marine Resources in the East Asian Seas through Implementation of Intergovernmental Agreements and Catalyzed Investments (PROGRAM) (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$20,000,000)¹

Land Degradation

- 19. Global (Global, Argentina, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Bangladesh, China, Colombia, Ecuador, Lesotho, Morocco, Nigeria, Panama, Philippines, Thailand, Tunisia, Turkey, Uzbekistan) : Decision Support for Mainstreaming and Scaling up of Sustainable Land Management (FAO) (GEF Project Grant : \$6,116,730)
- 20. Argentina : Sustainable Land Use Management in the Drylands of North-west Argentina (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$3,515,091)

Multi Focal Area

- 21. **Cambodia :** Strengthening National Biodiversity and Forest Carbon Stock Conservation through Landscape-based Collaborative Management of Cambodia's Protected Area System as Demonstrated in the Mondulkiri Conservation Landscape (CAMPAS Project) (UNEP) (GEF Project Grant : \$4,718,182)
- 22. **Chile :** Integrated National Monitoring and Assessment System on Forest Ecosystems (SIMEF) in Support of Policies, Regulations and SFM Practices Incorporating REDD+ and Biodiversity Conservation in Forest Ecosystems (FAO) (GEF Project

¹ PFD in this list is highlighted in color.

Grant : \$6,293,684)

- 23. **Grenada :** Implementing a "Ridge to Reef" Approach to Protecting Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functions within and Around Protected Areas (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$3,031,666)
- 24. **Peru :** Transforming Management of Protected Area/Landscape Complexes to Strengthen Ecosystem Resilience (UNDP) (GEF Project Grant : \$8,991,434)
- 25. **St. Lucia :** Iyanola Natural Resource Management of the NE Coast (UNEP) (GEF Project Grant : \$2,331,818)

POPs

- 26. Regional (Antigua And Barbuda, Argentina, Barbados, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Jamaica, Mexico, Peru, Uruguay) : Continuing Regional Support for the POPs Global Monitoring Plan under the Stockholm Convention in the Latin American and Caribbean Region (UNEP) (GEF Project Grant : \$3,636,000)
- 27. Regional (Egypt, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Morocco, Mali, Mauritius, Senegal, Togo, Tunisia, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Congo DR) : Continuing Regional Support for the POPs Global Monitoring Plan under the Stockholm Convention in the Africa Region (UNEP) (GEF Project Grant : \$4,208,000)
- 28. Malawi : Pesticide Risk Reduction in Malawi (FAO) (GEF Project Grant : \$2,550,000)
- 29. Ukraine : Environmentally Sound Management and Final Disposal of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) (UNIDO) (GEF Project Grant : \$5,250,000)

Sub-project under the Previously Approved Programmatic Approach²

Multi-focal Area

 30. Vietnam: Integrating Biodiversity Conservation, Climate Resilience and Sustainable Forest Management in Central Annamite Landscapes (ADB) (GEF Project Grant: \$3,794,954) under the GMS-FBP Greater Mekong Sub-region Forests and Biodiversity Program, approved in November 2011 Council

31. With respect to the following two projects, the Council requested the Secretariat to arrange for Council members to receive draft final project documents and to transmit to the CEO within four weeks any concerns they may have prior to the CEO endorsing the project document for final approval by the GEF Agency:

² Following the procedures established for programmatic approaches applicable to all GEF Agencies, the final project documents of these sub-projects will be circulated to Council for four weeks before the CEO can endorse them.

- **Comoros :** Development of a National Network of Terrestrial and Marine Protected Areas Representative of the Comoros' Unique Natural Heritage and Comanaged With Local Village Communities (UNDP)
- **Cambodia :** Strengthening National Biodiversity and Forest Carbon Stock Conservation through Landscape-based Collaborative Management of Cambodia's Protected Area System as Demonstrated in the Mondulkiri Conservation Landscape (CAMPAS Project) (UNEP)

32. With respect to the PIFs approved as part of the work program, the Council finds that each of these PIFs (i) is, or would be, consistent with the Instrument and GEF Policies and procedures, and (ii) may be endorsed by the CEO for final approval by the GEF Agency, provided that the final project documents fully incorporate and address the Council's and the STAP reviewers' comments on the work program, and that the CEO confirms that the project continues to be consistent with the Instrument and GEF policies and procedures.

33. With respect to the following Programme, the Council requested the Secretariat to arrange for Council members to receive draft final documents of the sub-projects under the program and transmit to the CEO within four weeks any concerns they may have prior to the CEO endorsing the sub-project document for final approval by the GEF Agency:

• Regional (China, Indonesia, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Philippines, Timor Leste, Vietnam): Reducing Pollution and Rebuilding Degraded Marine Resources in the East Asian Seas through Implementation of Intergovernmental Agreements and Catalyzed Investments (PROGRAM) (UNDP)

34. With respect to any PIF approved in this work program, the final project document will be posted on the GEF website for information after CEO endorsement. If the GEF CEO determines that there have been major changes to the project scope and approach since PIF approval, the final project document shall be posted on the web for Council review for four weeks prior to CEO endorsement.

35. The detailed funding decisions taken by the Council during this 43rd session of the work program is part of the associated Annex 1 appended to this Joint Summary of the Chairs.

Decision on Agenda Item 17 Report of the Selection and Review Committee

36. The Council, having reviewed document GEF/C.43/CRP.01, *Report of the Selection and Review Committee*, agreed to:

- i. Take note of the GEF CEO/Chairperson and EO Director's goals and objectives for FY12 and FY13;
- ii. Notwithstanding the good performance of the EO Director, and upon his explicit request to the SRC to grant a relatively low increase, provide the EO Director

with only a 2.2% merit based salary adjustment and request the SRC Chair to communicate this decision to the World Bank Human Resources;

iii. Request the SRC to undertake the Performance Objectives Review process for the newly appointed GEF CEO/Chairperson in the spring of 2013 as well as the EO Director, and eventually to recommend to the fall 2013 Council meeting any adjustment to the GEF CEO's salary.

Decision on Agenda Item 18 Other Business

37. The Council decided to hold its fall 2013 meeting the week of November 4, 2013.

CLOSURE OF THE MEETING

38. The meeting closed on November 15, 2012.

| | | | | | Project Funding Amounts Requested for Funding in US\$ | | | Project Funding Amounts Approved by Council in US\$ | | | Comments |
|---|-----------------|------------|--|---------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| | GEF_ID | Agency | Title | Country | Project Amount Requested | Agency Fees Requested | Total Amount Requested | Project Amount Approved | Agency Fees Approved | Total Amount Approved | |
| Biod | <u>iversity</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 5062 | UNDP | Development of a National Network of Terrestrial and Marine Protected Areas Representative of the Comoros Unique Natural Heritage and Co managed With Local Village Communities | Comoros | 4,246,000 | 424,600 | 4,670,600 | 4,246,000 | 424,600 | 4,670,600 | |
| 2 | 4640 | World Bank | Democratic Republic of Congo Conservation Trust Fund | Congo DR | 11,636,363 | 1,163,637 | 12,800,000 | 11,636,363 | 1,163,637 | 12,800,000 | |
| 3 | 5058 | UNDP | Mainstreaming Biodiversity into Land Use Regulation and Management at the Municipal Scale | South Africa | 8,177,730 | 817,773 | 8,995,503 | 8,177,730 | 817,773 | 8,995,503 | |
| 4 | 5078 | UNDP | Conserving Biodiversity and Reducing Habitat Degradation in Protected Areas and their Buffer Zones | St. Kitts And Nevis | 3,371,630 | 337,163 | 3,708,793 | 3,371,630 | 337,163 | 3,708,793 | |
| 5 | 5065 | UNDP | Strengthening the National Protected Areas System of Swaziland | Swaziland | 5,390,000 | 539,000 | 5,929,000 | 5,390,000 | 539,000 | 5,929,000 | |
| 6 | 5034 | UNDP | Enhancing the Forest Nature Reserves Network for Biodiversity Conservation in Tanzania | Tanzania | 4,100,000 | 410,000 | 4,510,000 | 4,100,000 | 410,000 | 4,510,000 | |
| <u>Sub-total Biodiversity</u> 36,921,723 3,692,173 40,613,896 36,921,723 3,692,173 40,613,896 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | Project Funding Amounts Requested for Funding in US\$ | | Project Funding Amounts Approved by Council in US\$ | | | Comments | |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | GEF_ID | Agency | Title | Country | Project Amount Requested | Agency Fees Requested | Total Amount Requested | Project Amount Approved | Agency Fees Approved | Total Amount Approved | |
| <u>Clin</u> | ate Char | ige | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 5141 | UNDP/UNEP | Global Support Programme for Preparation of National Communications and Biennial Update Reports for non Annex I Parties under the UNFCCC | Global | 6,500,000 | 650,000 | 7,150,000 | 6,500,000 | 650,000 | 7,150,000 | |
| 8 | 5119 | UNEP | Umbrella Programme for National Communication to the UNFCCC | Global (Bahrain, Benin, Bhutan, Cook Islands, Eritrea, Lao PDR, Mali, Mauritius, Nauru, Seychelles, Sao Tome and Principe, Tanzania) | 6,180,000 | 618,000 | 6,798,000 | 6,180,000 | 618,000 | 6,798,000 | |
| 9 | 4949 | IADB | Low-Carbon Urban Mobility for Large Cities | Brazil | 6,000,000 | 600,000 | 6,600,000 | 6,000,000 | 600,000 | 6,600,000 | |
| 10 | 4882 | UNDP | Enabling China to Prepare Its Third National Communication (3NC) and Biennial Update Report to the UNFCCC | China | 7,280,000 | 728,000 | 8,008,000 | 7,280,000 | 728,000 | 8,008,000 | |
| 11 | 4626 | World Bank | Geothermal Power Generation Program | Djibouti | 6,036,364 | 603,636 | 6,640,000 | 6,036,364 | 603,636 | 6,640,000 | |
| 12 | 5063 | UNDP | Catalysing the Use of Solar Photovoltaic Energy | Iraq | 2,227,273 | 222,727 | 2,450,000 | 2,227,273 | 222,727 | 2,450,000 | |
| 13 | 4902 | UNIDO | Catalyzing Market Transformation for Industrial Energy Efficiency and Accelerate Investments in Best Available Practices and Technologies in the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | Macedonia | 1,400,000 | 140,000 | 1,540,000 | 1,400,000 | 140,000 | 1,540,000 | |
| 14 | 5140 | UNDP | Sixth National Communication to the UNFCCC | Mexico | 3,636,364 | 363,636 | 4,000,000 | 3,636,364 | 363,636 | 4,000,000 | |
| 15 | 4840 | UNDP | Energy Efficient Production and Utilization of Charcoal through Innovative Technologies and Private Sector Involvement | Sierra Leone | 1,768,182 | 176,818 | 1,945,000 | 1,768,182 | 176,818 | 1,945,000 | |
| 16 | 4873 | UNIDO | Promotion of Waste-to-Energy Applications in Agro- Industries | Tanzania | 5,277,000 | 527,700 | 5,804,700 | 5,277,000 | 527,700 | 5,804,700 | |
| <u>Sub</u> | total Cli | <u>mate Change</u> | | | 46,305,183 | 4,630,517 | 50,935,700 | 46,305,183 | 4,630,517 | 50,935,700 | |

| | | | | | Project Funding Amounts Requested for Funding in US\$ | | Amounts Requested for Funding Amounts Approved by Council | | | Council | Comments |
|------------|-------------|----------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| | GEF_ID | Agency | Title | Country | Project Amount Requested | Agency Fees Requested | Total Amount Requested | Project Amount Approved | Agency Fees Approved | Total Amount Approved | |
| Inte | ernationa | l Waters | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 4483 | UNDP | Enabling Transboundary Cooperation and Integrated Water Resources Management in the Extended Drin River Basin | Regional (Albania, Montenegro, Macedonia) | 4,500,000 | 450,000 | 4,950,000 | 4,500,000 | 450,000 | 4,950,000 | |
| 18 | 4936 | UNDP | Reducing Pollution and Rebuilding Degraded Marine Resources in the East Asian Seas through Implementation of Intergovernmental Agreements and Catalyzed Investments (PROGRAM) | Regional (China, Indonesia, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Philippines, Timor Leste, Vietnam) | 20,000,000 | 1,800,000 | 21,800,000 | 20,000,000 | 1,800,000 | | rogrammatic Approach |
| <u>Sub</u> | o-total Int | ernational Wa | <u>ters</u> | | 24,500,000 | 2,250,000 | 26,750,000 | 24,500,000 | 2,250,000 | 26,750,000 | |
| <u>Lan</u> | d Degrad | ation | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 4922 | FAO | Decision Support for Mainstreaming and Scaling up of Sustainable Land Management | Global (Global, Argentina, Bosnia- Herzegovina, Bangladesh, China, Colombia, Ecuador, Lesotho, Morocco, Nigeria, Panama, Philippines, Thailand, Tunisia, Turkey, Uzbekistan) | 6,116,730 | 611,673 | 6,728,403 | 6,116,730 | 611,673 | 6,728,403 | |
| 20 | 5044 | UNDP | Sustainable Land Use Management in the Drylands of North-west Argentina | Argentina | 3,515,091 | 351,509 | 3,866,600 | 3,515,091 | 351,509 | 3,866,600 | |
| Sub | o-total Lar | nd Degradation | <u>n</u> | | 9,631,821 | 963,182 | 10,595,003 | 9,631,821 | 963,182 | 10,595,003 | |

| | | | | | Project Funding | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------|---|-----------|--|-------------|--------------|--|-------------|--------------|----------|
| | | | | | Amounts Requested for Funding in US\$ | | | Amounts Approved by Council in US\$ | | | Comments |
| | GEF_ID | Agency | Title | Country | Project Amount | Agency Fees | Total Amount | Project Amount | Agency Fees | Total Amount | |
| | | | | | Requested | Requested | Requested | Approved | Approved | Approved | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>Mult</u> | i Focal A | <u>Area</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | 4905 | UNEP | Strengthening National Biodiversity and Forest Carbon Stock Conservation through Landscape-based Collaborative Management of Cambodia's Protected Area System as Demonstrated in the Mondulkiri Conservation Landscape (CAMPAS Project) | Cambodia | 4,718,182 | 471,818 | 5,190,000 | 4,718,182 | 471,818 | 5,190,000 | |
| 22 | 4968 | FAO | Integrated National Monitoring and Assessment System on Forest Ecosystems (SIMEF) in Support of Policies, Regulations and SFM Practices Incorporating REDD+ and Biodiversity Conservation in Forest Ecosystems | Chile | 6,293,684 | 629,368 | 6,923,052 | 6,293,684 | 629,368 | 6,923,052 | |
| 23 | 5069 | UNDP | Implementing a "Ridge to Reef" Approach to Protecting Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functions within and Around Protected Areas | Grenada | 3,031,666 | 303,167 | 3,334,833 | 3,031,666 | 303,167 | 3,334,833 | |
| 24 | 5080 | UNDP | Transforming Management of Protected Area/Landscape Complexes to Strengthen Ecosystem Resilience | Peru | 8,991,434 | 899,143 | 9,890,577 | 8,991,434 | 899,143 | 9,890,577 | |
| 25 | 5057 | UNEP | lyanola - Natural Resource Management of the NE Coast | St. Lucia | 2,331,818 | 233,182 | 2,565,000 | 2,331,818 | 233,182 | 2,565,000 | |
| <u>Sub-</u> | total Mu | <u>ılti Focal Area</u> | | | 25,366,784 | 2,536,678 | 27,903,462 | 25,366,784 | 2,536,678 | 27,903,462 | |

| | | | | | Project Funding Amounts Requested for Funding in US\$ | | | Project Funding Amounts Approved by Council in US\$ | | | Comments | |
|------------|-----------|-----------|---|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--|
| | GEF_ID | Agency | Title | Country | Project Amount Requested | Agency Fees Requested | Total Amount Requested | Project Amount Approved | Agency Fees Approved | Total Amount Approved | | |
| POP | <u>'s</u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | 4881 | UNEP | Continuing Regional Support for the POPs Global Monitoring Plan under the Stockholm Convention in the Latin American and Caribbean Region | Regional (Antigua And Barbuda, Argentina, Barbados, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Jamaica, Mexico, Peru, Uruguay) | 3,636,000 | 363,600 | 3,999,600 | 3,636,000 | 363,600 | 3,999,600 | | |
| 27 | 4886 | UNEP | Continuing Regional Support for the POPs Global Monitoring Plan under the Stockholm Convention in the Africa Region | Regional (Egypt, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Morocco, Mali, Mauritius, Senegal, Togo, Tunisia, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Congo DR) | 4,208,000 | 420,800 | 4,628,800 | 4,208,000 | 420,800 | 4,628,800 | | |
| 28 | 5109 | FAO | Pesticide Risk Reduction in Malawi | Malawi | 2,550,000 | 255,000 | 2,805,000 | 2,550,000 | 255,000 | 2,805,000 | | |
| 29 | 4386 | UNIDO | Environmentally Sound Management and Final Disposal of Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | Ukraine | 5,250,000 | 525,000 | 5,775,000 | 5,250,000 | 525,000 | 5,775,000 | | |
| <u>Sub</u> | -total PO | <u>Ps</u> | | | 15,644,000 | 1,564,400 | 17,208,400 | 15,644,000 | 1,564,400 | 17,208,400 | | |
| GRA | ND TOTA | L. | | | 158,369,511 | 15,636,950 | 174,006,461 | 158,369,511 | 15,636,950 | 174,006,461 | | |

Table 2: Record of GEF Trust Fund Resources Requested for Projects under Programmatic Approaches and Resulting Council Decisions Council Meeting, November 13-15, 2012

| | | | Project Funding Amounts Requested for Funding in US\$ | | | Project Funding Amounts Approved by Council in US\$ | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| GEF_ID Ager | icy Title | Country | Project Amount Requested | Agency Fees Requested | Total Amount Requested | Project Amount Approved | Agency Fees Approved | Total Amount Approved |
| Multi Focal Area | | | | | | | | |
| 4649 GM | S-FBP Greater Mekong Subregion Forest | s and Biodiversity Pr | ogram (PROGR | | Аррі | roved by Council in N | lovember 2011 | |
| 1 5005 ADB | GMS-FBP Integrating Biodiversity Conservati Climate Resilience and Sustainable Forest Management in Central Annamite Landscape | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3,794,954 | 341,546 | 4,136,500 | 3,794,954 | 341,546 | 4,136,500 |
| Sub-total Multi Focal Area | | | 3,794,954 | 341,546 | 4,136,500 | 3,794,954 | 341,546 | 4,136,500 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 3,794,954 | 341,546 | 4,136,500 | 3,794,954 | 341,546 | 4,136,500 | | |

Table 3: Record of GEF Trust Fund Resources Requested by Agency and Resulting Council Decisions Council Meeting, Nogember 13 - 15, 2012

Summary of Council Decisions

| Funding status as of 11/15/2012 | \$ 340,857,588 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Approvals by Council | \$ 174,006,461 |
| Funding status after Council meeting | \$ 166,851,127 |

| | Amounts Requested for Funding in US\$ | | | Amounts Approved by Council in US\$ | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|--|--|
| Agency | Project | Fee | Total | Project | Fee | Total | | |
| ADB | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| AFDB | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| EBRD | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| FAO | 14,960,414 | 1,496,041 | 16,456,455 | 14,960,414 | 1,496,041 | 16,456,455 | | |
| IADB | 6,000,000 | 600,000 | 6,600,000 | 6,000,000 | 600,000 | 6,600,000 | | |
| IFAD | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| UNDP | 83,485,370 | 8,148,536 | 91,633,906 | 83,485,370 | 8,148,536 | 91,633,906 | | |
| UNEP | 24,324,000 | 2,432,400 | 26,756,400 | 24,324,000 | 2,432,400 | 26,756,400 | | |
| UNIDO | 11,927,000 | 1,192,700 | 13,119,700 | 11,927,000 | 1,192,700 | 13,119,700 | | |
| World Bank | 17,672,727 | 1,767,273 | 19,440,000 | 17,672,727 | 1,767,273 | 19,440,000 | | |
| Total | 158,369,511 | 15,636,950 | 174,006,461 | 158,369,511 | 15,636,950 | 174,006,461 | | |